

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

l	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
•	10/723,389	11/25/2003	Thomas Redden Veariel	2003B103/2	8869	
		7590 02/16/200 L CHEMICAL COMP.		EXAMINER  BODAWALA, DIMPLE N  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	5200 BAYWA	Y DRIVE	• • • •			
	P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, T					
	·		1722			
_					<del></del>	
L	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE PAPER		
	3 MO	NTHS	02/16/2007			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	=
	10/723,389	VEARIEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dimple N. Bodawala	1722	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  ly be timely filed  IS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18</u>	B December 2006.		
•—	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matter	rs, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 36-64 and 72 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 36-64 and 72 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	lrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	nccepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🦳 Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date ormal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 1722

## DETAILED ACTION

## Response to Amendment

Claims 36-64 and 72 are pending.

In view of the amendment, filed on December 18<sup>th</sup>, 2006 following rejections are maintained for the reasons of record as given in the previous office action, mailed on August 17<sup>th</sup>, 2006.

- ✓ Rejection of claims 36-38,44,47,51-53,56,58-59,62,and 65 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Courval et al. (U S Patent No. 5,204,045).
- ✓ Rejection of claims 36,38,40,42,47, and 51-55 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Bentivoglio et al. (U S Patent No. 4,830,545).
- ✓ Rejection of claims 36,38-42,44-55,57,59-65,and 72 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Dudley (U S Patent No. 4,123,207).

In view of the amendment, filed on December 18<sup>th</sup>, 2006 following rejection is withdrawn from the previous office action, mailed on August 17<sup>th</sup>, 2006.

✓ Rejection of claim 72 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for being indefinite.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 18<sup>th</sup>, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Art Unit: 1722

Applicant argues that the prior art, Courval et al. (U S Patent No. 5,204,045) does not disclose or suggest a heater to increase the temperature of an already molten material. He further argues that the prior art would not have recognized any need for higher temperature as only the melt temperature at the die exit need be reached.

This is not found persuasive because the prior art, Courval ('045) discloses the heater near by the die plate. It further discloses the temperature range of the molten material, which is about 30 C to 145 C. It further teaches that the temperature range of the molten polymer is depending on the polymer shape as a result of deformation force upon it (See col.5 lines 9-43, and example 2).

Applicant further argues that the prior art, Bentivoglio et al. (U S Patent No. 4,830,545) does not disclose at all any temperature range of the material and also a heater to increase the resin temperature above the melting temperature.

This is not found persuasive because the prior art,

Bentivoglio ('545) discloses the heater to increase the

temperature of the material and also to keep the temperature

even, in which the throughout can be achieved before the melt

fracture stats to occur is thereby increased the temperature of

the material (See col.3 lines 9-27).

Art Unit: 1722

Applicant further argues that the prior art, Dudley (U S Patent No. 4,123,207) does not disclose the heater for increasing the temperature of the resin at the die exit.

This is not found persuasive because the prior art, Dudley ('207) discloses the heat exchanger as a heater for increasing temperature of material to prevent the solidification of the molten material prior to exit from the member (See col.7 lines 10-22).

Therefore, the rejection of claims under 102(b) is maintained for the reasons of record.

## · Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/723,389 Page 5

Art Unit: 1722

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dimple N. Bodawala whose telephone number is (571) 272-6455. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday at 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra N. Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

YCGENDRA N. GUPTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700